Acta Crystallographica Section F Structural Biology and Crystallization Communications

ISSN 1744-3091

Cristiane R. Guzzo,^a Ronaldo A. P. Nagem,^b Leonor M. P. Galvão-Botton,^a Beatriz G. Guimarães,^c Francisco J. Medrano,^c João A. R. G. Barbosa^c* and Chuck S. Farah^a*

^aDepartamento de Bioquímica, Instituto de Química, Universidade de São Paulo, CEP 05508-000, São Paulo, SP, Brazil, ^bInstituto de Física de São Carlos, Universidade de São Paulo, CEP 13560-970, São Carlos, SP, Brazil, and ^cCentro de Biologia Molecular Estrutural, Laboratório Nacional de Luz Síncrotron, CEP 13084-971, Campinas, SP, Brazil

Correspondence e-mail: joao@lnls.br, chsfarah@iq.usp.br

Received 25 February 2005 Accepted 7 April 2005 Online 22 April 2005



© 2005 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved

Expression, purification, crystallization and preliminary X-ray analysis of YaeQ (XAC2396) from *Xanthomonas axonopodis* pv. *citri*

Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. *citri* YaeQ (XAC2396) is a member of a family of bacterial proteins conserved in several Gram-negative pathogens. Here, the cloning, expression, purification and crystallization of the 182-residue (20.6 kDa) YaeQ protein are described. Recombinant YaeQ containing selenomethionine was crystallized in space group $P2_1$ and crystals diffracted to 1.9 Å resolution at a synchrotron source. The unit-cell parameters are a = 39.75, b = 91.88, c = 48.03 Å, $\beta = 108.37^{\circ}$. The calculated Matthews coefficient suggests the presence of two YaeQ molecules in the asymmetric unit. Initial experimental phases were calculated by the multiple-wavelength anomalous dispersion technique and an interpretable electron-density map was obtained.

1. Introduction

Xanthomonas is a genus of phytopathogenic bacteria that attack a variety of hosts with economic importance, including citrus fruits, rice, beans, grapes and cotton. Citrus canker is caused by the phytopathogen *Xanthomonas axonopodis* pv. *citri* (*Xac*), a rod-like Gram-negative motile bacterium. The *Xac* genome (Da Silva *et al.*, 2002) has a 5 175 554 bp chromosome and two megaplasmids: pXAC33 (33 699 bp) and pXAC64 (64 920 bp). Genome annotation identified over 4400 open reading frames, 2770 of which were assigned putative functions based on similarities with sequences in the public databases (Da Silva *et al.*, 2002).

Xac YaeQ (XAC2396) is a member of a family of bacterial proteins that are conserved in several Gram-negative pathogens, including Salmonella ssp., Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas ssp., Shigella ssp., Yersinia ssp., Ralstonia ssp., Erwinia ssp., Bordetella ssp., Burkholderia fungorum, Vibrio parahaemolyticus and Chromobacterium violaceum, as well as Azotobacter vinelandii, Dechloromonas aromatica, Shewanella oneidensis, Geobacter metallireducens and G. sulfurreducens. This 182-amino-acid residue protein was selected for structural analysis owing to its well folded and non-aggregated state in solution, both in bacterial lysates and in the purified form (Galvão-Botton et al., 2003).

It has been suggested that YaeQ is involved in the regulation of transcription of genes encoding virulence factors such as haemolysin and enzymes involved in the production of lipopolysaccharides. This hypothesis was presented as a YaeQ-encoding fragment from Salmonella typhyimurium was shown to complement a defect in the RfaH-dependent expression of the hlyCABD operon (Wong et al., 1998). However, Vicari & Artsimovitch (2004) have recently presented evidence that E. coli YaeQ does not complement the absence of RfaH in hlyCABD expression assays in vitro or in vivo. Thus, the precise function of YaeQ and its orthologues remains unknown. The YaeQ family does not show any sequence similarity with proteins of known structure or function. Determination of the three-dimensional structure of YaeQ may provide insights regarding its function. Several recent examples have demonstrated the feasibility of obtaining functional information from structure (Zarembinski et al., 1998; Bhattacharyya et al., 2002; Christendat et al., 2002; Jackson & Russell, 2001). In this report, we describe the cloning and expression of recombinant Xac YaeQ containing selenomethionine and its crystallization and also the determination of an initial

crystallization communications

electron-density map of the *Xac* YaeQ crystal structure by multiwavelength anomalous dispersion (MAD).

2. Cloning and expression of YaeQ

The YaeQ gene (XAC2396) was amplified by PCR from *Xac* genomic DNA using the following primers that were designed based on the published *Xac* genome sequence (Da Silva *et al.*, 2002): 5'-CATGC-CATGGGCTCATATGGCCCTCACCGCCA-3' and 5'-GGAATT-CAAGCTTTCATTCGGCCGGGGGCT-3'. The PCR product was digested with *Hind*III and *NdeI* and subcloned into the pET-3a vector (Studier *et al.*, 1990) previously digested with the same endo-nucleases. Selenomethionine-containing YaeQ was expressed in *E. coli* strain BL21(DE3)pLysS (Studier *et al.*, 1990) by growing a 500 ml culture in M9 medium to an optical density (600 nm) of 0.8, at which point 100 mg I^{-1} lysine, 100 mg I^{-1} phenylalanine, 100 mg I^{-1} threonine, 50 mg I^{-1} isoleucine, 50 mg I^{-1} valine and 60 mg I^{-1} selenomethionine were added (adapted from Berne *et al.*, 1999). After 15 min, heterologous protein expression was induced by adding 1 m*M* isopropyl- β -D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) and the cells were grown for 4 h before harvesting and storage at 203 K.

3. Protein purification

Cells from 1 l culture were resuspended in 25 ml 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 25% sucrose, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM PMSF and lysed using a French press. 2 U ml⁻¹ DNAse and 4 mM magnesium chloride were added to the soluble fraction, followed by incubation on ice for 30 min. This mixture was applied onto a Q-Sepharose Fast Flow (FF) Hiload 16/10 column (Amersham Pharmacia) previously equilibrated with 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.0, 1 mM EDTA and 14 mM β -mercaptoethanol. Bound proteins were eluted using a 0-300 mM NaCl gradient over 12 column volumes. Fractions containing YaeQ were concentrated using an Amicon system with a 10 kDa membrane and then further purified by gel filtration on a Superdex 75 prep-grade column (Amersham Pharmacia) equilibrated with 5 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.0. The molecular mass of the purified protein, as determined by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry, was 20 982.749 \pm 5 for the selenomethionine protein and 20.668.582 \pm 5 for the methionine-containing protein. The difference in mass between the two preparations demonstrated that all seven methionines were exchanged for selenomethionines. The total protein yield was 46.5 mg l^{-1} , with approximately 99% purity.



Figure 1

Typical crystals of YaeQ. The approximate dimensions of individual plates are $0.40 \times 0.40 \times 0.03$ mm.

Table 1

Recombinant SeMet-labelled Xac YaeQ crystal parameters and data-reduction statistics.

Values in parentheses refer to the highest resolution shell.

Space group	P2 ₁		
Unit-cell parameters			
a (Å)	39.75		
b (Å)	91.88		
c (Å)	48.03		
β(°)	108.37		
Data-set statistics	Peak	Inflection	Remote
Resolution range (Å)	37.80-1.90	46.13-2.40	45.64-2.40
	(1.97 - 1.90)	(2.53 - 2.40)	(2.53 - 2.40)
No. of observed reflections	99056 (8105)	49405 (7232)	51465 (7478)
No. of unique reflections	24507 (2207)	12499 (1816)	12469 (1799)
$\langle I/\sigma(I)\rangle^{\dagger}$	25.1 (2.1)	11.1 (2.0)	13.0 (2.2)
Multiplicity	4.0 (3.7)	4.0 (4.0)	4.1 (4.2)
Multiplicity, anomalous [†]	2.1 (1.9)	2.1 (2.0)	2.1 (2.0)
Completeness (%)	95.0 (86.7)	97.5 (96.9)	97.5 (96.7)
Completeness, anomalous [†] (%)	93.5 (82.8)	94.0 (94.8)	94.4 (95.1)
R(%)	3.2 (34.9)	6.0 (35.2)	5.1 (31.7)
No. of images	200	195	199
λ (Å)	0.97952	0.97962	1.00000

† Each member of a Friedel pair is counted as a separate reflection.

4. Crystallization

YaeQ crystals (Fig. 1) were grown using the hanging-drop vapourdiffusion technique at 291 K. Initial crystallization conditions of the methionine-containing protein were screened by the sparse-matrix sampling approach. Crystals were obtained in several conditions using the Crystal Screen (Hampton Research) and Clear Strategy (Molecular Dimensions Limited) screening kits. Optimization was then pursued by varying the precipitant concentration and the buffer pH. Suitable crystals for diffraction experiments were obtained by mixing equal volumes (1 μ l) of 10 mg ml⁻¹ protein solution (in 5 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.0) with reservoir solution, which consisted of either (i) 30-32%(w/v) PEG 4000, 0.2 M ammonium acetate and 0.1 M Tris-HCl pH 8.5–9.0 or (ii) 30% (w/v) PEG 8000, 0.1 M sodium cocadylate pH 6.7 and 0.2 M sodium acetate. Hanging drops were then equilibrated against 0.4 ml reservoir solutions. Crystals appeared within a few days and grew to mature size within a few weeks. Both methods gave the same crystal form.

5. Data collection and preliminary structure analysis

Initial X-ray diffraction data from the methionine-containing protein were collected at the D03B beamline of the Laboratório Nacional de Luz Síncrotron, Campinas, Brazil using a MAR CCD detector (data not shown). MAD X-ray diffraction data for selenomethioninecontaining crystals were collected at the protein crystallography beamline 8.3.1 of the Advanced Light Source (ALS), Berkeley, California using a ADSC CCD detector. SeMet-YaeQ crystals equilibrated against 32% PEG 4000, 0.1 M Tris-HCl pH 9.0, 0.2 M ammonium acetate were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and maintained at 100 K in a nitrogen-gas stream during data acquisition (this crystallization solution was sufficient to cryoprotect the crystals). MAD data sets were collected using a single crystal at three wavelengths, 0.97952, 0.97962 and 1.00000 Å, corresponding to the peak, inflection (approximate) and remote points of the fluorescence spectrum, respectively. The peak data set was collected initially and the inflection and remote data sets were then both collected from another region of the same crystal. The exposure time for each image of the peak data set (5 s) was ten times that for the inflection and remote data sets (0.5 s). The oscillation range for each image was 1° . The diffraction patterns from these data sets extended to approximately 1.9 and 2.4 Å resolution, respectively (Table 1). The crystal belongs to space group $P2_1$, with unit-cell parameters a = 39.75, b = 91.88, c = 48.03 Å, $\beta = 108.37^{\circ}$. The Matthews coefficient ($V_{\rm M} = 2.0$ Å³ Da⁻¹ and 38.4% solvent content) suggests that there are two protein molecules per asymmetric unit.

Diffraction images data were indexed, integrated, scaled and merged using the *HKL*2000 package (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997) for the peak data set and the programs *MOSFLM* (Leslie, 1992) and *SCALA* (Collaborative Computational Project, Number 4, 1994) for the inflection and remote data sets. The Friedel mates were scaled separately during data processing. Data sets were merged using *CAD* (Collaborative Computational Project, Number 4, 1994). Details of data-acquisition and data-processing statistics are shown in Table 1.

The program SHELXD (Sheldrick, 1998) was used to locate 14 selenium sites in the asymmetric unit of the crystal (seven selenomethionines per protein molecule are expected) using the anomalous differences from the peak data set. However, only the coordinates of 12 major selenium sites were used to calculate MAD phases using the program SHARP (de La Fortelle & Bricogne, 1997). Experimental phases obtained by SHARP were improved by density-modification protocols using the programs SOLOMON (Abrahams, 1997) and DM (Collaborative Computational Project, Number 4, 1994; Cowtan, 1994). The resulting electron-density map was used by the program ARP/wARP (Perrakis et al., 1999) to produce a preliminary polyalanine model that included 285 of the 364 amino-acid residues found within the asymmetric unit of the crystal. On the basis of this preliminary model, the non-crystallographic symmetry relating the two monomers was determined and used for phase improvement and model building. Interpretation of electron-density maps and construction of missing residues is being performed using the program O (Jones et al., 1991). Structural refinement of the XAC2396 atomic model is currently under way using REFMAC (Murshudov et al., 1997) and CNS (Brünger et al., 1998).

We would like to thank the ALS 8.3.1 beamline staff and Dr Mark Glover (University of Alberta) and members of his laboratory for the opportunity to collect MAD data at the ALS. We thank the laboratory of Dr Paolo di Mascio (Instituto de Química, Universidade de São Paulo) for MALDI-TOF MS analysis. This work was supported by Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP) through grant 01/07534-3, Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) (Brazil) and Associação Brasileira de Tecnologia de Luz Síncrotron (ABTLuS). CRG is a FAPESP fellow and LMPG was supported with a PhD studentship from FCT/MCT (Portugal).

References

- Abrahams, J. P. (1997). Acta Cryst. D53, 371-376.
- Berne, P. F., Doublié, S. & Carter, C. W. Jr (1999). Crystallization of Nucleic Acids and Proteins: A Practical Approach, 2nd ed., edited by A. Ducruix & R. Giegé, pp. 45–73. Oxford University Press.
- Bhattacharyya, S., Habibi-Nazhad, B., Amegbey, G., Slupsky, C. M., Yee, A., Arrowsmith, C. & Wishart, D. S. (2002). *Biochemistry*, **41**, 4760–4770.
- Brünger, A. T., Adams, P. D., Clore, G. M., DeLano, W. L., Gros, P., Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W., Jiang, J.-S., Kuszewski, J., Nilges, M., Pannu, N. S., Read, R. J., Rice, L. M., Simonson, T. & Warren, G. L. (1998). Acta Cryst. D54, 905–921.
- Christendat, D., Saridakis, V., Kim, Y., Kumar, P. A., Xu, X., Semesi, A., Joachimiak, A., Arrowsmith, C. H. & Edwards, A. M. (2002). *Protein Sci.* 11, 1409–1414.
- Collaborative Computational Project, Number 4 (1994). Acta Cryst. D50, 760–763.
- Cowtan (1994). Jnt CCP4/ESF-EACBM Newsl. Protein Crystallogr. **31**, 34–38 Da Silva, A. C. R. et al. (2002). Nature (London), **417**, 459–463.
- Galvão-Botton, L. M. P., Katsuyama, A. M., Guzzo, C. R., Almeida, F. C. L., Farah, C. S. & Valente, A. P. (2003). *FEBS Lett.* 552, 207–213.
- Jackson, R. M. & Russell, R. B (2001). Comput. Chem. 26, 31-39.
- Jones, T. A., Zhou, J. Y., Cowan, S. W. & Kjeldgaard, M. (1991). Acta Cryst. A47, 110–119.
- La Fortelle, E. de & Bricogne, G. (1997). Methods Enzymol. 244, 472-494.
- Leslie, A. G. W. (1992). Jnt CCP4/ESF-EACBM Newsl. Protein Crystallogr. 26.
- Murshudov, G. N., Vagin, A. A. & Dodson, E. J. (1997). Acta Cryst. D53, 240–255.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). Methods Enzymol. 276, 307-326.
- Perrakis, A., Morris, R. M. & Lamzin, V. S. (1999). Nature Struct. Biol. 6, 458– 463.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1998). Direct Methods for Solving Macromolecular Structures, edited by S. Fortier, pp. 401–411. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Studier, F. W., Rosenberg, A. H., Dunn, J. J. & Dubendorff, J. W. (1990). Methods Enzymol. 185, 60–89.
- Vicari, D. & Artsimovitch, I. (2004). Mol. Genet. Genomics, 272, 489-496.
- Wong, K. R., Hughes, C. & Koronakis, V. (1998). Mol. Gen. Genet. 257, 693– 696.
- Zarembinski, T. I., Hung, L. W., Mueller-Dieckmann, H. J., Kim, K. K., Yokota, H., Kim, R. & Kim, S.-H. (1998). Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 95, 15189–15193.